



Working to Reform Marijuana Laws

Maine Arrest Report

Marijuana Arrests By County 1995 - 1997

NAME	1995			1996			1997				1995 to 1997	
	TL	AQ	RATE	TL	AQ	RATE	TL	AQ	RATE	RANK	CHANGE	RANK
Maine	2,777	1.32	223.77	3,249	1.32	261.38	3,310	1.27	266.51	-	19.10%	-
Androscoggin	253	0.96	243.36	256	0.88	245.86	364	1.11	349.87	3	43.77%	3
Aroostook	166	1.11	202.48	177	0.98	215.57	178	1.09	216.98	10	7.16%	9
Cumberland	403	0.93	162.52	443	0.94	178.32	488	0.91	196.55	11	20.94%	8
Franklin	152	2.93	512.35	159	2.59	535.14	120	2.11	404.24	2	-21.10%	11
Hancock	95	1.34	194.38	129	1.53	263.54	123	1.56	251.50	8	29.38%	7
Kennebec	251	1.23	213.89	349	1.24	296.93	391	1.33	332.94	6	55.66%	2
Knox	150	1.74	404.30	172	1.80	462.89	206	1.85	554.87	1	37.24%	4
Lincoln	57	1.82	183.60	32	1.00	102.92	29	0.88	93.35	16	-49.16%	15
Oxford	92	1.72	173.36	110	1.67	206.97	72	1.08	135.59	14	-21.79%	12
Penobscot	311	1.39	212.13	499	1.79	339.82	495	1.63	337.38	4	59.05%	1
Piscataquis	111	5.32	598.00	57	1.72	306.62	32	1.60	172.30	12	-71.19%	16
Sagadahoc	48	0.94	141.61	65	1.33	191.47	49	0.88	144.47	13	2.01%	10
Somerset	74	1.21	144.37	76	1.05	148.04	48	0.92	93.58	15	-35.18%	13
Waldo	87	3.03	248.36	113	3.43	322.08	117	3.17	333.76	5	34.39%	5
Washington	129	1.98	359.24	104	1.53	289.19	80	1.16	222.67	9	-38.02%	14
York	398	1.28	235.91	508	1.43	300.64	518	1.31	306.82	7	30.06%	6

NORML

Working to Reform Marijuana Laws

Marijuana Arrests By Rate 1995 - 1997

NAME	1995			1996			1997				1995 to 1997	
	TL	AQ	RATE	TL	AQ	RATE	TL	AQ	RATE	RANK	CHANGE	RANK
Maine	2,777	1.32	223.77	3,249	1.32	261.38	3,310	1.27	266.51	-	19.10%	-
Knox	150	1.74	404.30	172	1.80	462.89	206	1.85	554.87	1	37.24%	4
Franklin	152	2.93	512.35	159	2.59	535.14	120	2.11	404.24	2	-21.10%	11
Androscoggin	253	0.96	243.36	256	0.88	245.86	364	1.11	349.87	3	43.77%	3
Penobscot	311	1.39	212.13	499	1.79	339.82	495	1.63	337.38	4	59.05%	1
Waldo	87	3.03	248.36	113	3.43	322.08	117	3.17	333.76	5	34.39%	5
Kennebec	251	1.23	213.89	349	1.24	296.93	391	1.33	332.94	6	55.66%	2
York	398	1.28	235.91	508	1.43	300.64	518	1.31	306.82	7	30.06%	6
Hancock	95	1.34	194.38	129	1.53	263.54	123	1.56	251.50	8	29.38%	7
Washington	129	1.98	359.24	104	1.53	289.19	80	1.16	222.67	9	-38.02%	14
Aroostook	166	1.11	202.48	177	0.98	215.57	178	1.09	216.98	10	7.16%	9
Cumberland	403	0.93	162.52	443	0.94	178.32	488	0.91	196.55	11	20.94%	8
Piscataquis	111	5.32	598.00	57	1.72	306.62	32	1.60	172.30	12	-71.19%	16
Sagadahoc	48	0.94	141.61	65	1.33	191.47	49	0.88	144.47	13	2.01%	10
Oxford	92	1.72	173.36	110	1.67	206.97	72	1.08	135.59	14	-21.79%	12
Somerset	74	1.21	144.37	76	1.05	148.04	48	0.92	93.58	15	-35.18%	13
Lincoln	57	1.82	183.60	32	1.00	102.92	29	0.88	93.35	16	-49.16%	15

Notes:

NR:None Reported.

TL: Total Marijuana Arrests Reported.

AQ: Arrest Quotient. This indicator compares local marijuana arrests to national marijuana arrests in proportion to all arrests. The AQ is produced through dividing the local percentage of marijuana arrests by the national percentage. A value of 1.0 indicates that local marijuana arrests are the same percentage of all arrests as they are nationally. A value of 2.0 indicates that local marijuana arrests are twice the percentage of local arrests as they are nationally. AQ values less than 1.0 indicate that local marijuana arrests are less of a percentage of all local arrests than the national standard. A value of .5 indicates that local marijuana arrests are one half the percentage they represent of all national arrests.

RATE: Marijuana arrest rate per 100,000 population.

CHANGE: Percent change in arrest rate from 1995 to 1997.

Citation: Gettman, Jon B. (2000) "US Marijuana Arrests. Part One – County Level Data" Washington, D.C.: National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws.

Original Data Source: Uniform Crime Reporting Program Data [United States]: County-Level Detailed Arrest And Offense Data, [Computer files]. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor], 1999.

Marijuana Arrests - 1997 Maine

