



Working to Reform Marijuana Laws

Oklahoma Arrest Report

Marijuana Arrests By Rate 1995 - 1997

NAME	1995			1996			1997				1995 to 1997	
	TL	AQ	RATE	TL	AQ	RATE	TL	AQ	RATE	RANK	CHANGE	RANK
Oklahoma	8,426	1.34	257.05	8,709	1.29	263.83	10,127	1.37	305.31	-	18.77%	-
Coal	25	1.90	418.55	28	2.55	465.58	58	2.80	959.95	1	129.35%	5
Texas	103	1.92	630.78	126	2.08	766.33	147	2.30	889.83	2	41.07%	23
Garvin	129	1.83	479.50	145	1.80	535.29	233	3.39	856.15	3	78.55%	12
Atoka	62	3.17	469.20	38	1.94	285.61	98	3.35	733.09	4	56.24%	19
Marshall	31	1.10	266.87	46	1.67	393.30	84	1.62	714.83	5	167.85%	3
Roger Mills	8	2.64	205.55	10	2.16	255.17	28	7.63	711.02	6	245.91%	1
Bryan	188	1.98	561.46	127	1.53	376.65	229	2.00	675.92	7	20.38%	36
Craig	40	1.92	280.07	62	2.61	431.09	90	3.01	622.79	8	122.37%	6
Murray	68	2.14	560.69	127	3.79	1040.05	74	2.59	603.15	9	7.57%	47
Haskell	23	1.06	208.33	36	1.38	323.86	65	1.93	581.97	10	179.34%	2
Pawnee	51	1.83	320.35	71	2.47	442.92	92	2.55	571.22	11	78.31%	13
Mayes	81	1.30	227.19	210	1.92	584.94	201	2.26	557.22	12	145.27%	4
Carter	103	0.93	233.69	135	1.01	304.17	217	1.41	486.60	13	108.23%	7
Ottawa	107	1.71	343.12	97	1.59	308.91	151	2.38	478.59	14	39.48%	25
Sequoyah	211	3.05	594.87	201	2.36	562.76	158	2.15	440.28	15	-25.99%	61
Johnston	30	1.12	295.19	22	0.69	214.99	44	1.31	427.93	16	44.97%	21
Latimer	50	2.36	474.83	29	1.61	273.51	45	1.78	422.42	17	-11.04%	56
Beckham	56	1.28	300.16	87	1.71	463.14	79	1.60	418.57	18	39.45%	26
Cimarron	14	3.20	448.43	1	0.23	31.83	13	2.17	411.91	19	-8.14%	53
Muskogee	217	1.13	312.41	273	1.37	390.31	288	1.21	409.78	20	31.17%	30
Stephens	121	1.14	278.58	135	1.12	308.66	178	1.46	405.02	21	45.39%	20
Okfuskee	51	1.83	452.93	18	0.64	158.76	45	1.26	395.01	22	-12.79%	57
Choctaw	33	1.02	210.31	44	1.41	278.48	61	1.61	384.23	23	82.69%	10
Creek	151	1.56	235.73	162	1.65	251.15	244	1.83	376.47	24	59.70%	17

NORML

Working to Reform Marijuana Laws

Caddo	62	1.04	206.97	99	1.57	328.21	114	1.47	376.14	25	81.74%	11
Hughes	58	2.33	449.13	32	1.26	246.10	49	2.08	375.05	26	-16.49%	59
Pontotoc	112	1.33	324.10	117	1.39	336.23	129	1.44	368.94	27	13.83%	41
Alfalfa	25	5.01	401.67	28	4.71	446.78	23	4.85	365.31	28	-9.05%	55
Osage	70	1.27	201.92	89	1.36	254.96	126	1.57	359.24	29	77.91%	14
Cleveland	419	1.27	279.45	405	1.24	268.23	535	1.45	352.63	30	26.19%	32
Jefferson	21	2.30	298.47	26	2.49	367.02	25	3.38	351.27	31	17.69%	37
Canadian	185	1.45	268.61	137	0.85	197.54	239	1.23	342.96	32	27.68%	31
Le Flore	114	1.29	252.07	155	1.47	340.37	156	1.52	340.94	33	35.25%	29
Tulsa	1,556	1.34	290.32	1,614	1.29	299.04	1,846	1.33	340.38	34	17.25%	38
Custer	66	1.26	246.66	83	1.34	308.03	92	1.37	339.82	35	37.77%	27
Cherokee	133	1.27	362.22	155	1.33	419.21	123	1.10	331.06	36	-8.60%	54
Seminole	75	0.96	301.77	67	0.86	267.74	82	1.04	326.13	37	8.07%	46
McClain	112	2.67	453.61	176	3.22	707.91	76	1.77	304.24	38	-32.93%	66
Blaine	47	2.58	421.98	34	1.71	303.17	33	0.91	292.89	39	-30.59%	63
Rogers	150	1.89	245.66	134	1.63	217.94	175	1.76	283.26	40	15.31%	40
Dewey	14	1.28	264.45	5	0.44	93.79	15	1.40	280.11	41	5.92%	48
Wagoner	89	1.45	171.46	153	2.10	292.70	147	1.90	279.87	42	63.23%	16
Lincoln	144	2.89	476.00	72	1.90	236.35	85	1.56	277.71	43	-41.66%	70
Payne	180	1.36	280.75	185	1.48	286.55	178	1.31	274.39	44	-2.26%	49
Delaware	85	2.43	270.07	72	1.50	227.18	80	1.67	251.21	45	-6.98%	52
Adair	39	0.93	196.13	41	0.95	204.76	49	1.08	243.55	46	24.18%	34
Okmulgee	96	0.99	255.49	105	0.98	277.51	92	0.93	242.00	47	-5.28%	50
Pittsburg	74	0.93	171.55	131	1.23	301.59	105	1.17	240.58	48	40.24%	24
Cotton	24	1.83	355.40	16	1.24	235.33	16	1.30	234.23	49	-34.09%	67
Noble	34	3.08	299.90	26	1.82	227.77	26	1.80	226.68	50	-24.42%	60
Grady	77	1.02	177.76	86	1.17	197.16	98	1.24	223.60	51	25.79%	33
Oklahoma	1,345	1.23	198.08	1,202	1.03	175.75	1,510	1.24	219.68	52	10.91%	44
Kay	151	1.08	312.88	90	0.59	185.19	107	0.58	219.11	53	-29.97%	62
Jackson	40	1.14	136.86	32	0.67	108.73	64	1.09	216.41	54	58.13%	18
Logan	55	2.59	183.27	54	1.66	178.70	63	1.61	207.48	55	13.21%	42

NORML

Working to Reform Marijuana Laws

McCurtain	61	0.70	178.48	93	0.97	270.24	69	0.73	199.55	56	11.80%	43
Kiowa	18	0.48	161.35	18	0.53	160.24	22	0.75	194.95	57	20.83%	35
Comanche	160	0.86	135.20	131	0.71	109.92	223	0.91	186.22	58	37.74%	28
Greer	7	1.01	107.81	6	0.73	91.79	12	1.30	182.70	59	69.47%	15
McIntosh	70	2.50	384.98	33	1.75	180.24	33	1.50	179.38	60	-53.41%	71
Washington	74	1.07	154.40	107	1.34	221.71	81	1.05	167.03	61	8.18%	45
Woodward	48	0.98	253.95	65	1.14	341.51	31	0.55	162.10	62	-36.17%	69
Garfield	97	0.83	169.35	105	0.87	182.04	92	0.75	158.74	63	-6.26%	51
Harper	15	6.11	390.32	8	3.19	206.77	6	2.23	154.40	64	-60.44%	74
Washita	41	2.64	352.63	15	1.38	128.12	18	1.20	153.01	65	-56.61%	72
Love	28	1.69	324.11	12	1.08	137.95	12	1.14	137.28	66	-57.64%	73
Woods	6	0.81	68.85	8	0.91	91.19	12	0.95	136.15	67	97.73%	8
Nowata	9	0.76	91.07	22	1.47	221.06	13	0.81	130.01	68	42.77%	22
Pushmataha	16	0.95	140.68	7	0.39	61.13	14	0.71	121.68	69	-13.51%	58
Pottawatomie	105	0.77	171.33	132	1.26	213.89	73	0.69	117.73	70	-31.29%	65
Beaver	6	0.76	101.49	37	4.10	621.54	7	0.75	117.04	71	15.32%	39
Major	21	1.84	270.06	13	1.56	166.03	7	0.75	88.98	72	-67.05%	75
Kingfisher	15	0.87	112.27	25	1.81	185.82	10	0.50	73.98	73	-34.11%	68
Grant	2	1.19	35.76	3	1.22	53.27	4	1.41	70.68	74	97.67%	9
Tillman	10	0.80	100.73	14	0.93	140.04	7	0.45	69.69	75	-30.81%	64
Harmon	11	1.66	303.87	4	0.66	109.74	1	0.16	27.31	76	-91.01%	76
Ellis	1	0.53	23.27	NR	-	-	NR	-	-	-	-	-

Notes:

NR:None Reported.

TL: Total Marijuana Arrests Reported.

AQ: Arrest Quotient. This indicator compares local marijuana arrests to national marijuana arrests in proportion to all arrests. The AQ is produced through dividing the local percentage of marijuana arrests by the national percentage. A value of 1.0 indicates that local marijuana arrests are the same percentage of all arrests as they are nationally. A value of 2.0 indicates that local marijuana arrests are twice the percentage of local arrests as they are nationally. AQ values less than 1.0 indicate that local marijuana arrests are less of a percentage of all local arrests than the national standard. A value of .5 indicates that local marijuana arrests are one half the percentage they represent of all national arrests.



RATE: Marijuana arrest rate per 100,000 population.

CHANGE: Percent change in arrest rate from 1995 to 1997.

Citation: Gettman, Jon B. (2000) "US Marijuana Arrests. Part One – County Level Data" Washington, D.C.: National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws.

Original Data Source: Uniform Crime Reporting Program Data [United States]: County-Level Detailed Arrest And Offense Data, [Computer files]. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor], 1999.

Marijuana Arrests - 1997 Oklahoma



All Arrest Rates Per 100,000 Population.

Primary Source: Uniform Crime Reports
Published by NORML
Copyright 2000 by Jon Gettman